

GCRF Sustainable futures for the Costa Rica dairy sector – training workshop, CATIE, 5-6<sup>th</sup> October 2017

# Ammonia emissions from agriculture: impacts, sources and mitigation

### Tom Misselbrook





### Overview

- Introduction
  - Reactive N
  - Impacts and effects
  - Emission process
- Emission sources
  - Agricultural
    - Livestock housing
    - Manure storage
    - Manure and fertiliser application
    - Outdoor livestock
- Potential mitigation

### **Global demands**

#### Figure 2.3 World population: 1950-2010 and projections (three variants)







Figure 1.2





Source: FAO World Towards Agriculture, 2012

### **Planetary boundaries**



Source: Steffen et al. 2015 Stockholm Resilience Centre

### **Reactive N – the Nitrogen Cascade**



Oenema et al. (2007b)

### Net Anthropogenic Nitrogen Inputs to watersheds



Billen et al. (2013) Phil Trans B http://rstb.royalsocietypublishing.org/content/368/1621/20130123

### Ammonia

**Environmental impacts:** 

- Eutrophication
- Soil acidification
- Local and long-range deposition
- Particulate formation
- Indirect GHG



San Joaqin valley – particulate formation



Nitrogen loving Xanthoria near a poultry farm (L); nitrogen intolerant Bryoria fuscens (R)

### Baseline impacts calculated for 2020 - Europe



Source: IIASA, 2008

## **Emission sources**

### **European ammonia emissions**



### **Ammonia – emission sources**



#### housing

storage

grazing



#### fertilisers







#### spreading

### **Ammonia – emission process**



### Grazing

- Rapid infiltration of urine low emissions (c. 10% of urine N)
- Emissions from dung very low as mostly organic N



### **Housing emissions**



### **Sources: Manure storage**



### **Sources: Manure spreading**



Slurry – typically surface broadcast to grassland or arable

# FYM – typically broadcast to arable land



### Manure spreading – typical emission curves



- Greater loss from solid manures (no infiltration)
- Slow hydrolysis of uric acid for poultry manure
- Pig slurry tends to be more dilute than cattle

### **UK ammonia emission factors for livestock**

#### Average annual emission, kg NH<sub>3</sub> per animal

	N excreted	Animal housing	Manure storage	Manure spreading	Grazing	TOTAL (% of N excreted)
Dairy cow	128	13.0	3.5	8.3	1.8	26.7 (21)
Other cattle	56	3.4	0.9	2.1	1.3	7.7 (14)
Fattening pig	13.3	2.3	0.9	1.0	0.1	4.3 (32)
Sow	18.1	2.4	0.7	0.4	1.1	4.4 (28)
Sheep/goat	9	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.3	0.5 (6)
Laying hen	0.6	0.13	0.02	0.08	0.01	0.24 (38)
Broiler	0.4	0.02	0.01	0.05		0.08 (21)

### **Emissions from N fertilisers**

 $EF = EF_{max} \times RF_{soilpH} \times RF_{landuse} \times RF_{rate} \times RF_{ration} \times RF_{temperature}$ 

Maximum potential emission factor, modified by a series of reduction factors according to:

- Fertiliser type
- Soil pH
- >Land use
- >Application rate
- ➢ Rainfall
- ➢ Temperature

	EF (%N)
Ammonium nitrate (CAN)	1.7
Urea	9.7
UAN	4.9
AS/DAP	2.9

# Mitigation

A.PORT

### **Mitigation – Reactive Nitrogen**

Reduce inputs (or increase outputs) – emission intensity
 Increase efficiency of utilisation
 Reduce losses



### Low emission animal housing systems - cattle





#### Limited options:

#### **Reduction in emissions**

70%

- Grooved-floor systems with toothed scrapers (new build)
  35%
  £500 per animal place additional upfront cost
- Acidification/flushing further assessment needed
- Washing down collecting yards £46 per animal place
  Activity data

### Low emission animal housing systems - pigs





Slurry-based systems: Reduction in emissions

- Partially-slatted floors with reduced pit 30% area £55 per animal place upfront cost
- Air scrubbers 80% £54 per animal place upfront cost
- Flooring systems definition/evidence
- In-house acidification (e.g. Denmark)

Straw-bedded/naturally ventilated: Few options

**Co-benefits of scrubbers – PM reduction** 

### Low emission animal housing systems - poultry



Layers:

**Reduction in emissions** 

- Belt-drying of manure 30%
  £0.34 per animal place operating cost
- Air scrubbers
  £2.47 per animal place upfront cost



#### **Broilers:**

- Litter drying heat exchangers 30% £0.23 per animal place annual cost
- Air scrubbers
  £2.47 per animal place upfront cost

**Co-benefits of scrubbers – PM reduction** 



#### **Rigid tank covers**

#### **Reduction efficiency 80%**

£22.40 per m<sup>3</sup> slurry upfront cost

- Applicability not always possible to retro-fit
- Co-benefits exclude rainfall, reduce other emissions

#### **Floating covers**



**Reduction efficiency 60%** £3.25 - £6.91 per m<sup>3</sup> slurry upfront cost

- Applicability can apply to existing stores
- Secondary impacts may increase N<sub>2</sub>O emissions



Slurry bags

- 'Pillows' for increasing current capacity
- Full systems to replace tanks/lagoons

#### Reduction efficiency – estimated at 95%

Assumed cost neutral for replacing existing slurry storage Upfront cost £29 per m3 slurry (cf £34 for steel tank and £17 for lagoon)



- Applicability is an alternative to current systems, therefore may take a long time to penetrate the sector
- Co-benefits reduction of other emissions



- Practicalities difficult where heaps sequentially formed
- Waste sheeting
- Current requirement for poultry field heaps in NVZ

#### **Reduction efficiency 60%**

- Annual cost £0.70 per tonne manure
- Only makes sense if manure is rapidly incorporated after spreading

### Low emission spreading approaches

#### Slurry



#### Low emission spreading approaches





Rapid incorporation – within 4h, 12h, 24h Reduction efficiency 17 – 82% Timing, method and manure type influence efficacy

Cost

Pert manure spread £0.08 - 1.57

### **Slurry acidification**

- Reduce slurry pH to <5.5
- Emission reduction up to 70%
- Acidify during storage or using specially adapted tanker
- Becoming common in Denmark



### N fertiliser applications

#### Urea

- Switch from urea to AN
- Use urease inhibitor; combined inhibitors
- Soil incorporation

### Reduction efficiency urease inhibitor 70

Cost Per kg N applied

£0.15 - but variable

### Crop yield benefits?

- Fertiliser value of saved NH<sub>3</sub>-N
- Yield benefits often not significant



#### Encourage use of DSS

Use less fertiliser for same yield

### MACC for ammonia mitigation measures for agriculture



An Inventory of Mitigation Methods and Guide to their Effects on Diffuse Water Pollution, Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Ammonia Emissions from Agriculture



Newell Price, J.P., Harris, D., Taylor, M., Williams, J.R., Anthony, S.G., Duethmann, D., Gooday, R.D., Lord, E.I. and Chambers, B.J. (ADAS), and Chadwick, D.R. and Misselbrook, T.H. (Rothamsted Research, North Wyke)

December 2011

Prepared as part of Defra Project WQ0106





### **Options for Ammonia Mitigation**

Guidance from the UNECE Task Force on Reactive Nitrogen



# Any questions?



